Value creation: The journey continues
A survey of JSE Top-40 companies’ integrated reports
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Foreword

“CEOs and CFOs can make their business as understandable as they choose. Comparability starts with transparency.”

- Patrick Finnegan, IASB Board Member
As we approach the first anniversary of issuing the first International <IR> Framework, the question arises: has the Framework been a valuable guide to companies in preparing their integrated reports?

Integrated reporting is gaining traction globally as evidenced by the positive comments on <IR> by UNCTAD and the B20 of the G20 countries. Notwithstanding this, preparers are striving for quality integrated reports.

One of the main inhibitors of progress in quality is a distinct lack of integrated thinking within many organisations, which is also a key theme that has emerged from PwC’s survey this year.

With the recent turmoil experienced in the South African financial services sector, it is more important than ever to acknowledge that good reporting does not necessarily equate to good corporate behaviour.

The integrated report is intended to be the outcome of integrated thinking and the integrated reporting process, but often an integrated report is a combination of the traditional silos in an organisation.

Integrated thinking puts into practice the recognition that stakeholders can affect the worth of an organisation. Hence their legitimate needs, interests, concerns and expectations should be managed in the organisation’s best longer-term interests.

This approach recognises that stakeholder relationships and the essential resources used by the organisation are interconnected and interdependent, both functionally and operationally.

Integrated thinking acknowledges the connections between resources and relationships - how they connect to the different functions and operations in the organisation - and drives the organisation to work on an integrated basis. This integrated view breaks down the traditional silos in an organisation.

A handful of brave pioneers are embracing these concepts and inevitably benefiting from a clearer perspective of the organisation’s dependency on resources and stakeholder relationships - which in turn informs strategy development, risk management, stewardship and accountability - in clear, concise and understandable language.

This survey by PwC lays bare the vast potential that South African organisations have to develop their reporting, while also revealing certain strides that have been made towards the pervasive change that is required in corporate reporting today.

This survey will be extremely helpful to those charged with applying integrated thinking and in preparing an integrated report.

Mervyn King SC
Chairman of the International Integrated Reporting Council

“With the recent turmoil experienced in the South African financial services sector, it is more important than ever to acknowledge that good reporting does not necessarily equate to good corporate behaviour.”

- Mervyn King SC
Executive summary

“We take a dim view of companies that report badly. It tends to be poorly governed companies that report badly, so we will be more sceptical when it comes to a capital raising or debt issuance. Companies that report better are more likely to get long term investment.”

- Investor
Introduction

Building and maintaining trust has never been more important and more challenging. How business operates and what drives success is constantly evolving. At the same time, what customers, suppliers, employees, governments and society in general expect from business is also shifting. This is having an inevitable impact on what information management needs to manage the business, and what needs to be communicated externally.

The integrated report has come to the fore as one of the most prominent channels of communicating with stakeholders. The integrated report seeks to align relevant information about an organisation’s strategy, governance systems, performance and future prospects in a way that reflects the economic, environmental and social impact it has on the environment in which it operates.

For over a decade, we have invested significant resources in understanding the information needs of preparers and users as well as the economic benefits of transparency and best practices from around the world in order to provide practical insights into the critical building blocks of effective corporate reporting.

Our focus has been on aligning the interests of those who report on performance with those who use the information to make critical investment decisions.

While financial reporting is in a mature and established phase, and has been for quite some time, the model for reporting in an integrated way is still evolving.

Emerging themes

The conciseness paradox

It was apparent this year that companies have attempted to ‘cut the clutter’ in their integrated reports by removing information or moving it to other reports that provide more detail, or making it available on the company’s website.

Striking a balance between reporting in a concise way and retaining key messages is not easy. Often, context and depth is lost when a sufficient amount of thought is not put into what is being omitted from the integrated report. The key to achieving conciseness successfully is to consider materiality and completeness in communicating the ‘big picture’.

Embedding integrated thinking

Integrated thinking is underpinned by the concepts of connectivity and interdependency between a range of factors that affect an organisation’s ability to create value over time. There is a distinct trend of connecting certain ‘typical’ sections in an integrated report, like strategy and business model, while leaving others to stand on their own, like governance and future outlook.

Reporting suites

Most companies have opted for a ‘suite’ of reports (85%) as opposed to issuing a single integrated report (15%). This suite generally includes an integrated report, sustainability report and annual financial statements, with cross-references to areas where more detail has been given in another report.

As with the conciseness paradox already mentioned, it is important to strike a balance between communicating enough to bring the key messages across, and cross-referencing to other more detailed sections of reports. This trend may also not meet the needs and expectations of investors, which is discussed further in the Investor perspective section of this report.

Although allowed, the question has been raised whether the inclusion of summarised financial statements are sufficient for purposes of an integrated report if they are not linked to the company’s strategy and performance measures. Our analysis of the JSE Top-40 found that 48% of reporters included summarised financial statements as part of their integrated report, indicating no clear preference locally.

Through the looking glass

As was the case in last year’s findings, companies still focus on historical reporting, with limited insight being provided about prospects for the future. For those companies that made an attempt to bring forward-looking insights to their reports, the emphasis remains on the near term, with reporting on the medium and long term virtually non-existent.
Overview of findings

Findings were grouped by Content Element and then evaluated according to three broad categories:

- Clear opportunities to develop reporting;
- Potential to develop reporting; and
- Effective communication.

This year, once again, reporting on business model as well as strategy and resource allocation delivered the most effective communication.

While governance reporting showed the most improvement since our previous survey, it still appears to be lacking overall. Reporting on performance is another area where there are significant opportunities to improve effective reporting.

Research methodology

We conducted our survey on the Top-40 companies listed on the JSE during the review period covering the 2013 calendar year. For each of the companies making up the Top-40 (see Appendix 1), a detailed assessment of over 100 factors was performed.

The assessment was based on PwC’s integrated reporting model and linked to the Content Elements of the IIRC’s International <IR> Framework.

Each assessment was reviewed by an experienced reviewer before being approved for inclusion in the overall survey results.

---

Reporting format trends

Figure 3: Financial information included in integrated report

- Summarised financial statements: 48%
- Full annual financial statements: 52%

Source: PwC analysis of the JSE Top-40

Figure 4: Form of reporting

- 'Suite' of multiple reports: 15%
- Single integrated report: 85%

Source: PwC analysis of the JSE Top-40
Figure 5: Composition of suite of reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Type</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial statements</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability report</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investor presentation</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC analysis of JSE Top-40

Of the 34 companies whose reports were identified as a 'suite', all provided financial statements and most provided a separate sustainability report. The other reports noted forming part of suites of reports included BEE reviews, governance reports and industry-specific reports.

Figure 6: Interactive web-based reports

Source: PwC analysis
## Highlights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify one or more material capitals</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report priorities for their non-financial capitals</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report their principal risks</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate their risks into other areas of their reporting</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify their key performance measures</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of measures</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss future market trends</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link market discussion to strategic choices</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make reference to their business model</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate the business model into other areas of their reporting</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearly distinguish between short, medium and long-term priorities</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy integrated into report</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make reference to their business model</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Align measures with strategy</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include strategic priorities</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate governance is linked to narrative reporting</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPIs clearly aligned with remuneration policies</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on reliance on resources</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide benchmark data for KPIs</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Communicating value in the 21st century

“My overarching philosophy is that there shouldn’t be a cookie cutter approach. A key skill of management should be explaining their business model and strategy clearly and concisely.”

- Investor
Developments in integrated reporting

What is Integrated Reporting?

Integrated Reporting (IR), as formulated by the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC), “promotes a more cohesive and efficient approach to corporate reporting and aims to improve the quality of information available to providers of financial capital to enable a more efficient and productive allocation of capital.”

Integrated reporting is not just about producing an integrated report; it is about the journey that an organisation embarks on to create value. The IIRC anticipates that its International IR Framework will become the global standard for integrated reporting.

The IIRC released the Framework in December 2013 after a process of widespread consultation and ‘trial runs’ by businesses and investors participating in the IIRC Pilot Programme.

What and how – linking integrated reporting and King III

The Institute of Directors in South Africa issued a practice note in June 2014 clarifying the interaction between King III and the Framework. The practice note clarifies that King III addresses the principles of integrated reporting (the what), while the format, or Guiding Principles and Content Elements are set out in the Framework (the how).

The IIRC

The IIRC brings together leaders from all the major international standard-setting and regulatory bodies with companies, investors and other key representatives to develop an internationally accepted integrated reporting framework.

The IIRC’s long-term vision is “a world in which integrated thinking is embedded within mainstream business practice in the public and private sectors, facilitated by integrated reporting as the corporate reporting norm.”

Since October 2011, the IIRC’s Pilot Programme Business Network, comprising more than 100 businesses from 26 countries has been putting the principles of integrated reporting into practice. Although the Pilot Programme officially ends in 2014, it may continue to exist in another format.

Source: PwC analysis

Source: IIRC

The Business Network has been supported by more than 35 investor organisations that comprise the Pilot Programme Investor Network.

The IIRC recently facilitated the establishment of a Public Sector Pioneer Network that will enable its members to explore issues in applying integrated reporting in the public sector. The network will run over two reporting cycles starting with the 2014/2015 cycle.

Source: IIRC

Figure 7: IIRC activity

2013

- December 2013 International IR Framework launch
- Pilot Programme (extended into 2014): Companies and investors
- Corporate reporting dialogue (CRD)

2014

- Business network

Source: PwC analysis

Figure 8: Pilot Programme company locations

Source: IIRC


What’s the big deal?

The world is changing at a rapid pace and the global context in which businesses operate is changing along with it. The economic crisis was a sharp reminder that financial measurement alone cannot provide sufficient insight into business performance.

Investors and other stakeholders are now demanding that management teams provide clear, unambiguous information about issues such as external drivers affecting their business, their approach to governance and managing risk, and how their business model really works.

This paradigm shift is necessitating businesses and other organisations to consider more than just the traditional financial focus of thinking and reporting.

What are the benefits?

The benefits of integrated reporting are twofold. The organisations participating in the Pilot Programme have identified the benefits of applying the principles of integrated reporting both in their businesses and with respect to their investors.

Organisations have benefitted from improving their ability to describe their strategy and how they create value. One of the key benefits highlighted by many reporters is the breaking down of silos within the business and improved internal reporting used by management to make decisions.

Investors have specifically identified clear reporting of the connection between the business strategy, performance and prospects as a benefit. In addition, investors focus on the disclosures provided around key risks and opportunities faced by the business and how its governance processes address these. Ultimately, better reporting leads to a reduction in the cost of capital.

Stakeholders or shareholders?

The Framework identifies investors, or ‘providers of financial capital’, as the primary audience for an integrated report, but emphasises that other communications resulting from integrated reporting would be of benefit to all stakeholders interested in an organisation’s ability to create value over time. Why then do the integrated reports of South African companies have a distinct stakeholder focus compared to those of their global peers?

The difference can be attributed to the fact that King III clearly describes integrated reporting as a stakeholder-inclusive process, while the Framework states that the primary audience of the integrated report are investors.

According to the practice note, the Framework has sufficient flexibility to allow the entity to address its integrated report to its stakeholders as it sees fit. If an entity selects a shareholder-focused approach, it should explain its approach and the potential impact in terms of the King III principles.

What is the Corporate Reporting Dialogue?

The Corporate Reporting Dialogue (CRD) is an initiative introduced by the IIRC in mid-2014 with the aim to “strengthen cooperation, coordination and alignment between key organisations with integrated reporting as the umbrella”.

The participants include global accounting and sustainability standard setters representing both the private and public sector. The CRD has set a few objectives, which include improving the quality of information available to providers of financial capital and promoting a more cohesive and efficient approach to corporate reporting.

What has changed between the Draft and the final Framework?

The IIRC discusses the key issues identified by the 359 respondents to its Draft Framework in a “Summary of significant issues” document.

We have highlighted a few of these issues below.

Integrated Reporting and an Integrated Report

According to the Framework, the integrated report is a concise communication about how an organisation’s strategy, governance, performance and prospects, in the context of its external environment, lead to the creation of value over the short, medium and long term. Integrated reporting, on the other hand, is defined as a process founded on integrated thinking that results in a periodic integrated report by an organisation about value creation over time and related communications regarding aspects of value creation.

The Framework establishes a clear link between integrated reporting, integrated thinking and an integrated report through a revision of the definition of integrated reporting. The Framework also acknowledges that the application of integrated thinking, which results in integrated decision-making based on integrated reporting, can only simplify the preparation of an integrated report if based on information readily available in the organisation.

An integrated report is therefore not only a communication tool, but it is the end result of integrated reporting, founded in the processes of integrated thinking that underpin an organisation’s internal management. A report that is not based on these underlying principles lacks substance and meaning.

---

Form of an integrated report and its relationship with other information published by the entity

The Consultation Draft intends for the integrated reporting process to be applied continuously to all relevant reports and communications, and anticipates the preparation of an annual integrated report. These specific requirements have been omitted from the final Framework. Some respondents sought clarification as to whether an integrated report was expected to be an additional or new report, or whether the Framework could be applied to existing reports such as an annual report. Additional text in the Framework clarifies the different forms that an integrated report may take, but emphasises that it should be a “designated and identifiable communication”.

The Framework emphasises that if the integrated report uses information that is similar to information already publicly available, it should be prepared in a manner that is consistent with, or easily reconcilable with that information. This may be an investor presentation or other information made available to the public.

The Framework states that the integrated report should be more than a combined summary of various other reports. (e.g. financial statements and summarised sustainability report). The report may serve as a compliance report as long as any additional information contained in the report does not obscure the information required by the Framework. The integrated report may link to other information either through a hyperlink if the format of the report is in an electronic format or through a reference if it is printed report.

A report may only claim to be an integrated report “in compliance with the Framework” if it meets all of the highlighted requirements of the Framework. The Framework provides some transitional concessions.

Competitive harm, cost and materiality

The paragraphs in the ‘Reliability and completeness’ section have been revised to clarify that all material matters should be disclosed.

Information relating to material matters may, however, not be available. The Framework retains the requirement for the entity to disclose the lack of reliable data and its processes to rectify the situation. It clarifies that the risk of competitive harm in itself cannot be used inappropriately to avoid disclosure and that the limitations caused by legislative constraints and the nature of the omitted information must be disclosed.

The Framework also states that an organisation should consider cost-benefit, but may not refrain entirely from making any disclosure about a material matter on the basis of cost.

Responsibility for the integrated report

While the Draft Framework only specified that the relevant governance body may include a statement acknowledging its responsibility for the integrated report, the Framework requires a statement by those charged with governance that acknowledges their shared responsibility for the integrated report and their opinion on whether it has been prepared in accordance with the Framework.

This explicit statement of responsibility is broadly aligned with the King III principle that requires the audit committee to describe whether it has recommended the integrated report for approval by the board.

The value-creation process

Based on a request from respondents to clarify what value and value creation is, the IIRC considered a number of viewpoints on value creation. The Framework concludes that value is created from two perspectives.

Firstly, value is created as financial returns for the providers of financial capital and, secondly, value is created for other stakeholders and society at large. The Framework defines value creation as “the process that results in increases, decreases or transformations of the capitals caused by the organisation’s business activities and outputs.”

An organisation’s value creation process can be linked to the Content Elements as follows:

- **Organisational overview and external environment**: The external environment is the context within which the organisation intends to realise its mission and vision, and create value;
- **Governance**: An oversight structure underpins the ability of the organisation to create value;
- **Business model**: The ability of the organisation to use capitals as inputs, and convert these through its business activities as outputs and outcomes that lead to the increase, decrease or maintenance of capitals;
- **Risks and opportunities**: A continuous assessment of the organisation’s ability to create value;
- **Strategy and resource allocation**: The actions an entity takes to mitigate risks and maximise opportunities;
- **Performance**: Setting up, measuring and monitoring key performance indicators; and
- **Outlook**: The dynamic nature of an organisation’s value creation processes requires continuous reconsideration.

---


An investor perspective

We previously mentioned that South African reporters tend to think about stakeholders very broadly thanks to King III’s ‘inclusive stakeholder’ approach.8

The International <IR> Framework, however, identifies that the primary purpose of an integrated report is to explain to providers of financial capital how an organisation creates value over time.9

This means it is critical to understand investors’ perspectives when producing an integrated report.

Investment professionals will benefit from a more integrated understanding of the risks and longevity of the business model through better disclosures about the business’ key relationships and outcomes. They will also build confidence that management has a broader understanding of risk in its strategic decision-making thereby generating a more sustainable, resilient return, primarily through improved risk and value management.

Reporting drives perception

A forthcoming PwC publication10, which surveyed 85 investors globally, found that 80% of respondents’ perception of the quality of a company’s reporting impacts their perception of the quality of its management. Only 6% said otherwise.

In addition, 82% of investment professionals surveyed revealed that when companies present information clearly and concisely, they feel more confident in their own analyses. This could suggest that for such companies there is a lower uncertainty or risk premium, which could have a longer-term impact on the company’s ability to raise finance, or on its share price volatility.

Form of reporting

Although management tends to believe that investors don’t take the time to review their companies’ annual reports, the annual report was consistently cited as important in every category of the investor survey as a source of information. Only 3% of investment professionals stated that they do not typically review the annual reports of companies that they follow. This should provide some comfort to preparers of integrated reports that their tireless work in this area is not for nought.

Interestingly, some of the findings of the investor survey contradict one of the emerging themes that we noted in our analysis this year, that being the growing number of companies who present a suite of reports as opposed to a single integrated report. Nearly three-quarters (72%) of those surveyed believe that having all the elements of the annual report in one document is important, perhaps reflecting the importance of the document across many different information types as well as the ease of having everything in one place.


80% of respondents say that the quality of reporting impacts their perception of the quality of management

“The annual report is really a point of reference; it’s the place you go for the detail, or to refer back to when you need to. It might not be the first port of call for the financials – the market moves on the prelims – but it is a very important document.”

Investor
**Highlights of the global investor survey**

**Investor views on business model**

Overall, investors see room for companies to improve the effectiveness of business model explanations, with the level of granularity, clarity and specificity being the most commonly cited areas for improvement.

Our local research of the JSE Top-40 companies found that companies could improve in describing the material capital inputs to their business models (only 33% currently do this effectively), and giving insight into reliance on certain resources and relationships within the business model (only 38% currently do this effectively). Read more about this in the Business model section of this report on page 30.

**Investor views on strategy**

Some of the key themes investment professionals talked about as areas for improvement include clarity on performance against strategic targets and giving a wider market context to the company’s strategic plans. Importantly, only 14% of investment professionals surveyed felt that companies generally disclose enough information about their future strategic plans to allow them to feel comfortable with the judgments they need to make.

Our own research of the JSE Top-40 companies corroborated this view, with only 38% of companies reporting on specific future actions to be taken in order to achieve their priorities. Read more about this in the Strategy and resource allocation section of this report on page 40.

**Investor views on risk**

Although only 1% of respondents said that understanding management’s view of potential risks and their mitigation strategies is not important to them, 76% agreed that there is too much ‘boilerplate’ risk disclosure. There also appears to be a lack of linkage between a company’s risks, its strategy and its financial information, again highlighting the importance of linkage to high-quality reporting.

Our local research found that only 54% of companies integrated risks into other aspects of their reporting. Read more about this in the Risks and opportunities section of this report on page 34.

**Investor views on performance measures**

One of the interesting points raised by investment professionals surveyed is a need for clarity on why management has chosen the particular measures. Such an explanation may help users of a report understand whether a particular KPI is an appropriate measure and the how the company has performed against the target KPI.

Our research found that only 26% of companies effectively defined each KPI and the rationale for its use. Read more about this in the Performance section of this report on page 45.

---

* PwC’s global survey on investors’ views on integrated reporting will be released in September 2014 and be available online at http://www.pwc.com/gx/en/audit-services/corporate-reporting/publications/investor-view/investor-survey-edition.jhtml.
Findings

“Companies don’t do a good job of following up on the statements they made in previous years’ annual reports. I need an update on what has happened since last year.”

Investor
Guiding principles

Figure 9: Guiding Principles

The Guiding Principles identified in the International <IR> Framework underpin the preparation and presentation of an integrated report, informing the content of the report and how strategic information is presented.12

This year, we took note of some additional aspects of reporting that reflect the application of the Guiding Principles, which go beyond that of our core survey that focuses mainly on the Content Elements of the International <IR> Framework.

Strategic focus and future orientation

Companies show a strong strategic focus in their reports. Strategy and resource allocation were areas we found to be among the most effectively reported on (see page 40). On the flipside, one of the main findings of our research this year is a reluctance to incorporate a future perspective into reporting (see page 50).

Connectivity of information

On the surface, there appears to be a significant improvement in the integration of diverse information in reports. The majority of reporters (79%) reported a consistent picture between the narrative reporting in the integrated report and the numbers in the annual financial statements. Only when delving deeper, did cracks start to appear in a specific linkage. The solution to this problem is greater integrated thinking (see page 8).

Stakeholder relationships

Many companies seem to put a lot of effort into reporting on the stakeholder engagement process. This emphasises the value that South African companies place on engaging with a wide range of stakeholders. This is especially important when reflecting on the damage recent labour and community unrest has inflicted on the value creation capabilities of certain South African companies.

Materiality and conciseness, reliability and completeness

One of the most significant emerging themes of our research this year revolves around the delicate balance between these concepts, which are discussed further on page 8.

Consistency and comparability

These are often the most difficult principles to apply in an integrated report. Companies that strive to innovate and evolve their reporting often have to sacrifice consistency and comparability to achieve this. Integrated thinking can again be a powerful tool in solving this conundrum. When a company’s strategy is deeply integrated into all aspects of its business, the key values and priorities of the company should become clear and remain consistent over time which, in turn, will enable comparability.

Source: Adapted from the International <IR> Framework17

An integrated report should answer the question:

What does the organisation do and what are the circumstances under which it operates?

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.4

What it means

Communicating the context within which an organisation operates is often the first step in enabling stakeholders to understand how that organisation creates and sustains value.

An integrated report should therefore communicate information to enable stakeholders to understand the markets the organisation competes in, why it has chosen to compete in that market and the impact of trends that are driving strategic choices. This involves communicating about the general market environment, including the key markets and environments that an organisation operates in, key underlying drivers of market growth historically and in the future, and the organisation’s competitive landscape.

An organisation should recognise the opportunities and risks presented by the external market that, through its strategic choices, the organisation is adapting itself to meet.

62% of companies linked strategic choices to external drivers

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.4

Organisational overview and external environment

Principle in practice

Good reporting should provide insight into:

- The organisation’s:
  - culture, ethics and values;
  - ownership and operating structure;
  - principal activities, markets, products and services;
  - competitive landscape and market positioning (considering factors such as the threat of new competition and substitute products or services, the bargaining power of customers and suppliers, and the intensity of competitive rivalry);
- Key quantitative information (e.g. the number of employees, revenue and number of countries in which the organisation operates), highlighting, in particular significant changes from prior periods; and
- Significant factors affecting the external environment and the organisation’s response.

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.5
Most companies included information about their values, operating structure and principal activities, markets, products and services. There has been little change in the disclosure on organisational overview and external market compared to the prior year and this section of the integrated report could do with more depth and insight into management’s view on the future of the markets it plans to operate in and why.

Companies typically describe the markets they operate in and their products and services but don’t elaborate on why they consider the opportunities these markets offer to be attractive. Although we see companies describe the underlying drivers of market growth, this is typically limited to the past and current reporting period and does not provide insight into the market drivers for the future.

While only 46% of companies describe their customer base, even fewer companies (20%) provide information on their competitive landscape and this trend continues from last year.

Findings
The majority of companies surveyed still displayed potential to develop their reporting further.

Figure 10: Reporting on organisational overview and external environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Effective communication</th>
<th>Potential to develop reporting</th>
<th>Clear opportunities to develop reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC analysis

Figure 11: Key underlying drivers of expected market growth in the future

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Driver</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not accomplished</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat accomplished</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accomplished</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exemplary</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC analysis

How reporting can be developed
While it is often difficult to identify forward-looking information and quantify industry trends, this information is crucial to investors in assessing an organisation’s ability to create value over the medium and long term, as opposed to providing short-term returns.

Companies can therefore seize the opportunity by including robust reporting on the factors that may impact on their ability to create value in the longer term, and use these factors to create context for its strategic choices.
What good reporting looks like

Example 1: Old Mutual plc

Insurance markets in Africa which are nascent and under-penetrated

Key growth trends are identified

GDP and insurance penetration

Source: Old Mutual plc Annual Report 2013, page 23
INDUSTRY TRENDS

A number of macroeconomic factors and changing consumer behaviour are shaping the current and future landscape in which global retailers operate. These global trends offer an external and often longer-term perspective on innovation, competitor actions and the needs of customers—an important tool to inform our strategy, to evaluate our offering and to identify opportunities and risks. For the WHL Group’s response to these industry trends, see Our Strategy on page 34.

SLOW GLOBAL ECONOMIC GROWTH
The outlook for global growth remains modest with some signs of recovery forecast for 2014.

While weak global demand has impacted the South African economy, domestic issues have recently returned to the fore. Political tension, wildcat strikes, service delivery protests and Eskom’s delayed expansion plans all contribute to low business and consumer confidence. High fuel prices, rising food inflation, muted job creation and slower growth in government spending and household consumption expenditure—during the second half of 2013. GDP growth in South Africa for 2013 is forecast at 2.0%, growing to 2.8% in 2014. The credit environment is perceived to be deteriorating and is not expected to show any signs of recovery in the short term.

The resource-driven Australian economy has suffered in the wake of weaker demand from China and resulting softer commodity prices. The currency has weakened against key trading partners, interest rates are at record lows and the budget deficit has been revised upward. Despite this, the Australian macroeconomic position remains relatively strong, and GDP growth for 2013 is forecast at 2.5% and expected to remain at that level in 2014.

STRONG GROWTH IN HIGHER INCOME GROUPS
The compound annual growth rate for Living Standards Measure (LSM) 8–10 between 2005 and 2015 is estimated at 5.4% compared to 2% for the total population. South Africa’s emerging black middle class continues to drive a disproportionate growth in LSM 8–10 by 2020, we expect LSM 8–10 to represent 27% to 32% of the population.

The All Media Products Survey (AMPS) estimates that LSM 8–10 will account for 40% of total spend on food and 49% of the clothing and footwear spend within South Africa by 2015.

Example 3: British American Tobacco plc

The choice between the legal tobacco industry and the illegal market

Harm reduction is core to our business strategy and we invested £161 million in 2013 in research and development.

Responsible marketing aimed only at existing adult smokers and a global approach to youth smoking prevention.

Products highly regulated and we have strict quality and safety standards.

We paid more than £33 billion to governments globally in excise and other taxes in 2013.

Fair treatment of farmers, suppliers and employees. We also provide direct agronomy support to over 100,000 farmers worldwide.

Source: British American Tobacco Annual Report 2013, page 9

Example 4: MTN Group Limited

Key business drivers and how they are linked to strategic choices

Principal activities and products

Competitive landscape is contextualised

Where we operate

MTN is a leading emerging markets mobile operator. Through our extensive investment in advanced communication infrastructure, we are able to offer superior voice and data services in 32 countries across Africa and the Middle East. Offering innovative consumer apps, data and TV packages and services, we are the market leader in 21 of the 22 countries in which we operate. Our growth is due to our ability to offer affordable services irrespective of income level. We employ 31,600 people and our coverage for the year is 85%.

Key territories, markets and quantitative information

Competitive landscape and market position

Key products and services

Governance

Integrated reports should answer the question: “How does the organisation’s governance structure support its ability to create value in the short, medium and long term?”

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.8

What it means

“Those charged with governance are responsible for creating an appropriate oversight structure to support the ability of the organisation to create value”.13

Governance reporting provides the link between the social, environmental, economic and financial issues that impact on the organisation’s business and the development of strategy.

Effective communication about the governance of an organisation is therefore integral to the user’s appreciation of how those charged with governance are creating value.

Principle in practice

Good reporting should provide insight into:

- An organisation’s leadership structure, including the diversity and skills of those charged with governance;
- Specific processes used to make strategic decisions and to establish and monitor the culture of the organisation, including its attitude towards risk and mechanisms for addressing integrity and ethical issues;
- Particular actions those charged with governance have taken to influence and monitor the strategic direction and risk management approach;
- How the organisation’s culture, ethics and values are reflected in its use of and effects on the capitals, including its relationships with key stakeholders;
- Whether governance practices exceed legal requirements;
- The responsibility those charged with governance take for promoting and enabling innovation; and
- How remuneration and incentives are linked to value creation.

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.9

Findings

Our survey does not focus on governance compliance reporting but rather on whether the integrated report provides sufficient context to understand if the organisation’s governance structure will support its ability to create value over the short, medium and long term.

Companies assessed seem comfortable reporting on board charters and terms of reference. We continue, however, to see ‘boilerplate’ disclosure in the corporate governance section, which do not reflect what those charged with governance have actually done in adding value to the company.

Very few reports (18%) clearly link the section on governance to the rest of the integrated report. There is much opportunity to integrate the reporting of the actions and responsibilities of those charged with governance with the operations and strategies of the company to provide a holistic view of governance.

Less than half of the companies surveyed clearly describe the company’s culture and values and how these drive governance and the tone from the top.

Figure 12: Reporting on governance

Source: PwC analysis

---

13 “International <IR> Framework”, IIRC, paragraph 2.22
Do KPIs align with those that drive remuneration policies?

The link between remuneration and incentives and value creation is often thought to be one of the true measures of an organisation’s commitment to integrated thinking. Performance measures in isolation without a clear link to the reward of those tasked with implementing an organisation’s strategy are often not a fair reflection of what actually drives underlying value.

Very few reports provide a clear link between key performance indicators and remuneration policies for executive directors and key management. Most remuneration scheme disclosure includes narrative about fixed and variable remuneration with a statement that these are aligned with the company’s strategy.

However, it is not clear how the objectives set for management relate to the company’s objectives and key value drivers. This is truer for the link with non-financial capital measures where only 31% of companies disclosed any linkage between remuneration and KPIs.

Looking to the future, performance measures will have to take into account the strategy of the organisation, including both the financial and non-financial elements of achieving strategic objectives. Remuneration policies will have to go hand-in-hand with determining appropriate performance measures to ensure that targets are not only well thought through, but also measurable.

Figure 13: KPIs aligned with those that drive remuneration policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not accomplished</th>
<th>Somewhat accomplished</th>
<th>Accomplished</th>
<th>Exemplary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC analysis

Board effectiveness and succession

Some description of the actual activities undertaken by the board was provided by 41% of reporters, while 33% of reports were assessed as having accomplished good reporting practice and having reported on the actual activities of the board and provided examples or case studies of these activities.

In terms of providing more than basic disclosures about the board effectiveness review, 23% of reports were assessed as having accomplished good reporting. These reports included disclosure on the logistics and process undertaken in assessing the effectiveness of the board as well as extensive disclosure of the outcomes of the review and follow-up actions planned in future.

No companies provided effective disclosure about board succession planning, while 50% made some reference to board rotation.

A word on diversity

Gender and race are important factors to consider in achieving board diversity. In assessing the organisation’s leadership structure, we reviewed integrated reports to determine if policies and targets for diversity have been disclosed.

No mention of a policy or a target for diversity could be found in 46% of reports, while 36% of reports provided brief reference to supporting policies on diversity.

Figure 14: Targets for diversity on the board are discussed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not accomplished</th>
<th>Somewhat accomplished</th>
<th>Accomplished</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC analysis

Reporting assessed as accomplished in this area provided insight into the company’s policy, evidence of actions taken and targets set to achieve diversity. This was demonstrated in 18% of the reports.

How reporting can be developed

Organisations that integrate their governance reporting into their integrated report provide a more holistic view of the importance of governance to a business. This also instills confidence in investors with regards to the quality of management and overall credibility of reporting.

Reporting on actual activities undertaken by the board and the outcomes of these activities is more insightful than simply providing information about committee agendas and charters.

A lot of space is dedicated to key management remuneration while there is a clear opportunity to illustrate how management will be incentivised for executing the company strategy and for meeting not only financial but also non-financial targets.
**What good reporting looks like**

**Example 5: Vodacom Group United**

---

**Our business**

**Who governs us**

---

**Board structure**

We have a unitary Board with 12 directors, the majority of whom are non-executive directors. Our Chairman is an independent non-executive director.

---

**Source:** Vodacom Group Limited Integrated Report 2013, page 10
Board evaluation in 2013

Culture and dynamics

“Board dynamics are good, with quality leadership, and no factions. We debate the issues against a solid background.”

Non-Executive Director

Findings
- the Board has an open and transparent culture, encouraging active debate and a sophisticated level of challenge and enquiry;
- there is full cooperation between the Executive and Non-Executive Directors;
- there is no ‘group-think’ within the Board, and each director has a strong independent mindset;
- the Chairman is a good listener, managing the Board with an effective and consensual style;
- the CEO is a strong, performance orientated leader who knows the business well; and
- there is an even contribution by all Directors across the variety of topics discussed at Board meetings.

Action points for 2014
- Maintaining balance: recognising the strength of the Board’s culture and dynamics, the composition matrix considered by the Nominations Committee should factor in the balance of individual approaches and styles in addition to specific professional expertise.

Progress in 2013
- Director induction: following Dr Richard Tubb’s appointment to the Board in early 2013, he was appointed as a member of the CSR Committee and completed a full induction programme that included visits to the Group’s R&D facilities in Southampton and our factory in Bayreuth, Germany.

Composition and tenure

“The strength of the executives’ knowledge, and the Board’s desire for debate, demand a high level of Non-Executive understanding and ability.”

Non-Executive Director

Findings
- the composition of the Board, and its rolling tenure, combines a variety of professional experience;
- Board membership combines a diverse blend of intuitive and analytical perspectives;
- Non-Executive portfolio experience has been useful in improving internal processes (such as risk management), and
- as the business faces shifting consumer behaviour and changing trends, the Board would benefit from more experience of fast changing consumer landscapes and fast decision-making environments (which are often present in technology dependent, less regulated, industries).

Action points for 2014
- FMCG experience: experience in FMCG is likely to be a sector priority for the next round of Board appointees; and
- Non-financial expertise: as the Board is strong in financial expertise, it could accommodate more operational line management experience.

Strategy and operations

“It’s an exciting new world, which has caught our imagination – as the Board, we need to understand the drivers.”

Non-Executive Director

Findings
- the Board’s strategic debate is encouraged by the Chief Executive, and facilitated by the annual off-site meeting dedicated to strategy;
- the underlying performance of the business is closely scrutinised by executive management;
- the competitive, customer and regulatory landscapes are clearly visible to the Board, and a core part of the executive team’s regular updates;
- the Board receives high-quality consumer research;
- innovation and regulation are both recognised to be at the forefront of the Board’s agenda; and
- the Board is attuned to market sentiment and feedback from shareholder road-shows is valued.

Action points for 2014
- Stakeholder views: the CSR Committee will now incorporate an annual review of stakeholder maps into its agenda.

Progress in 2013
- CSR peer comparison: the CSR Committee reviewed how the Company compared to its industry peers in terms of delivery and reporting of its CSR agenda; and
- Shareholder engagement: the Chairman and the Chairman of the Remuneration Committee met together with institutions and key shareholders to discuss corporate governance and remuneration.

Source: British American Tobacco Annual Report 2013, page 52
Example 7: Gold Fields Limited

Figure 3.8: CEO’s 2014 Performance Scorecard

Operational excellence (weight: 60%)
- Gold Fields operating model 10%
- South Deep delivery 30%
- Damang turnaround 30%
- Safety, health and environment 15%
- Great place to work 15%

Growing Gold Fields (weight: 20%)
- Mergers and acquisitions 40%
- Disposal of projects that do not fit the Gold Fields portfolio 60%

Securing our future (weight: 20%)
- Improving the image of Gold Fields and articulating the business case 60%
- Shared Value 40%

Source: Gold Fields Integrated Annual Review 2013, page 63
Business model

An integrated report should answer the question: What is the organisation’s business model?

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.10

What it means

The business model is at the heart of an organisation and draws from the different capitals as inputs and converts them into outputs by means of the organisation’s business activities. This process leads to outcomes which in turn impact on the capitals, which are not necessarily the same as those used in the input phase.

This complex interconnection between an organisation and its environment is the core of value creation.

77% of companies integrate discussion of their business models with other reporting

The value creation process

Figure 2: The complex picture of an organisation’s value creation process, showing the interaction of the Content Elements and the capitals in the context of the organisation’s external environment.

Source: International <IR> Framework

An organisation should explain the resources and relationships that it relies on to deliver its strategy, how dependent it is on them, how it manages them and how it monitors success.

Principle in practice

Good reporting should provide insight into:

• Explicit identification of the key elements of the business model;
• A simple diagram highlighting key elements, supported by a clear explanation of the relevance of those elements to the organisation;
• Narrative flow that is logical given the particular circumstances of the organisation;
• Identification of critical stakeholder and other (e.g. raw materials) dependencies and important factors affecting the external environment;
• Connection to information covered by other Content Elements, such as strategy, risks and opportunities, and performance (including KPIs and financial considerations, like cost containment and revenues).

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.13

14 Copyright © December 2013 by the International Integrated Reporting Council (‘the IIRC’). All rights reserved. Used with permission of the IIRC
Findings

The reporting on the business model has consistently proven to be one of the areas where the most effective communication has been achieved. It is interesting to note, however, that as many companies continue on their integrated reporting journey, they seem to be experiencing certain growing pains in communicating about their business model. While companies appear to find it easier to report on outputs, managing the effect of outputs holistically in the form of outcomes remains a challenge.

![Figure 15: Reporting on the business model](image)

*Source: PwC analysis*

The power of graphics

Communicating the business model is one of the areas of integrated reporting that lends itself best to graphical representation. Only slightly more than half of companies illustrated their business model through the use of graphics.

![Figure 16: Business model illustrated through the use of graphics](image)

*Source: PwC analysis*

Integration and dependency

The vast majority of companies succeeded in integrating discussion of their business model into other areas of their reports. However, there is still room for improvement in discussing the different components of the business model. Only a third of companies clearly identified the material capital inputs into the business model, and even a smaller proportion gave insight into their reliance and dependence on certain resources or relationships in their business model.

![Figure 17: Business model integrated into other reporting](image)

*Source: PwC analysis*

How reporting can be developed

Clarity and conciseness

Given the complexity of organisations’ relationships with the external environment, resources and relationships, thought should be given to the communication of this complexity to stakeholders. This is especially true where organisations are dependent on scarce resources or significant relationships to create value. Clearly identifying the different elements of the business model (inputs, business activities, outputs and outcomes) and depicting them graphically may be an effective way to simplify reporting in this area.

Outcomes-based reporting

Furthermore, an increased focus on the positive and negative outcomes of the business model, both internal (e.g. employee morale and organisational reputation) and external (e.g. customer satisfaction and environmental effects), could add valuable insight to stakeholders.

Centralised value creation

Many organisations neglected to discuss the role of the corporate centre in the delivery of strategy. How an organisation functions on a central level may provide insights about how the different elements of the business model are managed and monitored, as the corporate centre is often the main driver of the different value-creating activities of an organisation.
OUTCOMES and their effects on the capitals are discussed

Example 8: What good reporting looks like

Business activities are explained

Material capital inputs are addressed

Outputs are identified

Our strategic business model

Inputs

We mine and produce PGMs from our extensive network of underground operations and are open pit.

Capital inputs

Natural capital: Material assets and natural systems are critical in our operations and require appropriate stewardship.

Human capital: Organisational systems and people are critical to the delivery of our activities.

Social capital: Our ability to manage social systems and relationships in an efficient and effective way is critical.

Manufactured capital: Equipment and operational systems are critical to the successful execution of our activities.

Financial capital: Cash is critical to funding operations and projects.

Value chain

Assess

Project excellence – to align with management and shareholders.

Secure

Sustainability excellence – with stakeholders.

Extract

People excellence – to support new organisation through simplified structure.

Mining and operational excellence – to maximise the value of our assets through effective and efficient operation.

Social and environmental excellence – leading organisation communities, environment and people and the operating company.

Market and sales

Commercial excellence – ensures the marketing and commercial strategies for maximising our returns from the broad market and world metal sector.

Material priorities

D notified to understand, manage and implement stakeholder expectations and bridge perceptive budget gaps.

Safeguarding our employment and community health and safety.

Seeking to ensure access to affordable infrastructure and natural resources, and maintain our footprint.

Our values: optimise production cost effectively, efficiently, profitably and sustainably.

Our obligations to comply with legal, regulatory, social and ethical requirements.

Our business process framework

Resources and reserves

On December 31, 2012, there were reserves of 12.09 Moz.

Palladium: 1.381 Moz

Nickel: 16.8 tonnes

Copper: 8.3 tonnes

Tailings: 849 Mt

CO2 emissions: 5.834 Mt

Concentrating

Our ability to optimise production cost effectively, efficiently, profitably and sustainably.

Mineral processing: concentrators and smelters.

Refining

A downstream refinery takes off our material and concentrates and produces a variety of metals including platinum, palladium, rhodium, iridium and ruthenium.

Platinum: 3.300 Moz

Platinum alloy: 1.30 Moz

Platinum palladium: 4.30 Moz

Copper: 8.3 tonnes

Emissions: 5.834 Mt

Capital outcomes

Natural capital

Human capital

Our ability to maintain our existing workforce and our charter with a view to maximising the potential of all employees and improving the welfare of all.

Organisational culture: Our values are to maintain our existing workforce and our charter with a view to maximising the potential of all employees and improving the welfare of all.

Social capital

Our ability to maintain our existing workforce and our charter with a view to maximising the potential of all employees and improving the welfare of all.

Manufactured capital

Our ability to maintain our existing workforce and our charter with a view to maximising the potential of all employees and improving the welfare of all.

Financial capital

Our ability to maintain our existing workforce and our charter with a view to maximising the potential of all employees and improving the welfare of all.

How the company differentiates itself in the marketplace
How we create value

MTN achieves profitability and creates value through a range of innovative and reliable communications products and services to around 208 million people in 22 emerging economies. To understand how our business operates, the first stage is to identify risks and opportunities. Our strategy stresses the importance of these opportunities and mitigate the risks effectively and our management structure enables delivery of our strategy.

Material capital inputs are addressed

Outcomes and their effects on the capitals are discussed

To understand how our business operates, the centre of this graphic represents the starting point: the acquisition of sufficient and appropriate radio spectrum through licences and permits in targeted markets. Thereafter, it is clear that capital investment is the next step in our value chain, which includes product development ensuring the right products at the right prices. Sales and distribution is the next stage we establish and maintain a wide and deep distribution network and foster sound partnerships. Ultimately, this leads to creating a distinct customer experience – we work to attract and retain our customers.
An integrated report should answer the question: What are the specific risks and opportunities that affect the organisation's ability to create value over the short, medium and long term, and how is the organisation dealing with them?

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.23

What it means

Continued short, medium and long-term value creation is significantly affected by an organisation's ability to identify and manage risk and embrace opportunities. An integrated report should identify these risks and opportunities, and explain the strategic direction the organisation has chosen and the actions it has undertaken to mitigate these.

The company should provide insight into its risk identification and materiality determination process. It is important that risks are not boilerplate, but specific to the organisation, and that the organisation provides a clear discussion of the implications of the identified risks on its ability to create value.

The Framework requires the organisation to look beyond its financial reporting boundary (subsidiaries, joint arrangements or associates) to identify risks, opportunities and outcomes that materially affect its ability to create value. Risks that could impact on the organisation’s ability to create value may for example be supplier labour practices or scarcity of natural resources available to suppliers.

Principle in practice

Good reporting should provide insight into:

- Significant risks, opportunities and dependencies flowing from the organisation’s market position and business model;
- The specific source of opportunities and risks, which may be internal, external or, commonly, a mix of the two;
- The organisation’s assessment of the likelihood that the opportunity or risk will come to fruition and the magnitude of its effect if it does. This includes consideration of the specific circumstances that would cause the opportunity or risk to come to fruition; and
- The specific steps being taken to mitigate or manage key risks or to create value from key opportunities, including the identification of the associated strategic objectives, strategies, policies, targets and key performance indicators.

Source: International <IR> Framework para 3.4, 4.25

54% of companies integrate risk reporting with other aspects of the report

Risks and opportunities
Findings

The vast majority of companies surveyed showed potential to develop risk reporting and the integration thereof into other aspects of the report. Reporting on risks and opportunities

![Figure 18: Reporting on risks and opportunities](source: PwC analysis)

While most companies included narrative information about identified risks specific to the company (69%), only 10% supported the discussion with quantified information, such as through key performance indicators (KPIs).

Risk dynamics

There was an increase in companies that provided good insights into the dynamics of their risk profiles by including information about the impact and probability of identified risks, as well as how risk profiles may change over time.

![Figure 19: Insights into the dynamics of the risk profile are provided](source: PwC analysis)
How reporting can be developed

Less than a third (28%) of companies make reference to their risk appetite, others state that the board has considered what type and quantum of risk or uncertainty the organisation is prepared to accept while still being able to create value and meet its objectives.

Risks and opportunities are fundamental and pervasive to organisations’ value creation activities. It is therefore paramount to integrate discussions relating to risks and opportunities throughout the integrated report and avoid limiting risk reporting to a stand-alone section.

Companies that include information about the potential impact and probability of risks occurring provide stakeholders with valuable information about those risks that may influence the company’s ability to create value over the short, medium and long term. This could also include linking risks to KPIs or quantifying risks in a meaningful way. It is also valuable for investors and other stakeholders to consider how risks impacting on the organisation and the organisation’s risk appetite evolves over time.
What good reporting looks like

Example 10: Gold Fields Limited

The impact of this restructuring is set out here – including a demonstration of how a pre-selected bundle of risks have changed as a result of Gold Fields restructuring – as well as a comparison of those top 10 risks faced by Gold Fields prior to the unbundling of Sibanye Gold and those that remain following the unbundling.

Figure 2.4: The Gold Fields 2011 heat map

Materiality is applied to highlight most significant risks and why these have changed
**Short-term risk performance**

The heat map below sets out the top 10 Group risks, as identified through our ERM process. This represents the Group's top operational and strategic risks, based on our operation- and region-level risk registers as at the end of 2013. Regional risk maps are contained in the online regional reports.

**Figure 3.4: Gold Fields' 2013 heat map**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Description</th>
<th>Mitigating strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustained lower gold price</td>
<td>• Restructuring of Gold Fields as a smaller but more cash-generative business and achieve a 15% free cash-flow margin at a gold price of US$1,300/oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• An increase in geographical and currency diversification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Application of a strict stage-gate process to ensure future growth projects contribute to cash generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of investor confidence</td>
<td>• Restructuring at our mines and growth projects to maximise financial and operational efficiency and achieve market guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Full review of our production portfolio to optimise cash generation and investment payback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Engagement with shareholders and analysts to explain our new business strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Demonstration of our ability to reduce our costs and deliver on our business plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to deliver South Deep business plan</td>
<td>• Implementation of a new production target following a life-of-mine review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Embedding of the “24/7/365” operating model and development of new training and maintenance facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Appointment of a General Manager from our Agnew mine, who is a specialist in mechanised underground mining, along with a support team of 14 experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Addressing of the following issues to facilitate production build-up:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Ore-handling infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Fleet availability and utilisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Operator and technician skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Risks have been identified as short term in nature*

*Risks are specific to the company*

*Steps taken to mitigate identified risks*

*Source: Gold Fields Integrated Annual Review 2013, page 58*
### Long-term Risk Performance

Figure 3.5 indicates whether management is operating within the risk tolerance levels set for them by the Board. Tolerance levels are reviewed and reset every year as part of our annual risk management plan.

#### Figure 3.5: Risk performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy and risk category</th>
<th>Risk appetite</th>
<th>Tolerance level</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>2012(^5)</th>
<th>2013(^6)</th>
<th>X / X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational excellence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Zero harm</td>
<td>Zero harm</td>
<td>No fatalities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SIFR(^7) (5% reduction)(^8)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LTIFR (5% reduction)(^9)</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DLIFR(^8) (5% reduction)(^9)</td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td>61.46</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 – 25% NOE</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational plan</td>
<td>Sustainable cash flow</td>
<td>Within ±5% of plan</td>
<td>Payment of dividends of 25% – 35% of earnings</td>
<td>235 cps = 25%</td>
<td>22 cps = 30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mergers and acquisitions</td>
<td>Prioritise low-risk/ high-return growth opportunities</td>
<td>Minimum of 10% IRR at US$1,300/oz</td>
<td>Increase % oz in OECD countries</td>
<td>29% oz in Australia</td>
<td>43% oz in Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing Gold fields</td>
<td>Orogenic/low sulphidisation ore bodies</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>On track</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explorations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Zero harm</td>
<td>Zero Level 4 and 5 incidents</td>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>Zero</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Zero harm</td>
<td>Zero harm</td>
<td>Silica(^3) Less than 5% &gt;0.1mg/m(^3)</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3.8%(^4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Noise(^3) All machinery &lt;110dB(A)</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>Zero</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securing our future</td>
<td>Pipeline of successors for scarce and critical skills</td>
<td>Successor cover for all executive committees</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>110%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>High-performance culture</td>
<td>Performance management plans and targets for management</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Excluding South Deep</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence to operate</td>
<td>Global leader in sustainable gold mining</td>
<td>Full compliance with all statutory, regulatory and community commitments</td>
<td>Full compliance – No mine closures, community- or government-related</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics and corporate governance</td>
<td>Full compliance with SOX and substantial compliance with King III</td>
<td>No material/significant failures</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^5\) Per million hours worked
\(^6\) Restated LTIFR, now includes restricted work injuries
\(^7\) These milestones are for South Africa Region only
\(^8\) Q4 2013
\(^9\) Restated, excluding Sibanye Gold

Risks have been identified as long term in nature

Risks identified are aligned to strategic objectives

**Risk metrics are identified for each risk**
**Example 11: Anglo American Platinum**

### RISK VERSUS GROUP’S RISK APPETITE AND TOLERANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk title</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Mitigating actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour unrest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk appetite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power supply constraints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate generating capacity by the service provider, Elkon.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required supply exceeds local infrastructural capacity.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social unrest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community anger, unrest and blockades.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfavourable global economic conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall in global demand and/or fall in basket price. Stronger rand/weaker dollar.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security of tenure (South Africa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security of tenure (Zimbabwe)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security of tenure (South Africa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security of tenure (Zimbabwe)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source: Anglo American Platinum Annual Report 2013, pages 34 & 35**
Strategy and resource allocation

An integrated report should provide insight into the organisation’s strategy, and how it relates to the organisation’s ability to create value in the short, medium and long term and to its use of and effects on the capitals.

An integrated report should answer the question: Where does the organisation want to go and how does it intend to get there?

Source: International <IR> Framework para 3.3, 4.27

What it means

The importance of an organisation’s strategy is highlighted by the fact that strategic focus is one of the Guiding Principles of the <IR> Framework, as well as a Content Element.

A good strategy is the frame of reference for all the value creation decisions and activities that an organisation may engage in. An organisation should communicate what it is trying to achieve, where it is trying to compete, how it will achieve its goals and how it will measure progress.

46% of companies have integrated strategy into the rest of their integrated report

Principle in practice

Good reporting should provide insight into:

- The organisation’s short, medium and long-term strategic objectives;
- The strategies it has in place, or intends to implement, to achieve those strategic objectives;
- The resource allocation plans it has in place, or intends to put in place, to implement its strategy; and
- How it will measure achievements and target outcomes for the short, medium and long term.

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.28

Findings

More than three-quarters (82%) of companies explain their key strategic priorities, but only 8% make a clear distinction between short, medium and longer-term strategic priorities.
Almost all companies surveyed (97%) had comprehensive discussions surrounding how strategic priorities are aligned to overall goals.

More than half (61%) of companies surveyed reported on the outcomes of strategic activities in the current period and clearly set out performance measures that management use to monitor whether these are being achieved. Only 38% of companies report on the specific actions it plans to take in the future in order to implement its priorities and achieve its objectives.

Source: PwC analysis
How reporting can be developed

By creating a link between the company’s strategy, business model, external environment, risk management and its impact on the capitals, the organisation’s strategic priorities can be integrated as a common theme throughout the integrated report.

Including time frames and targets for achieving strategic priorities would also enable stakeholders to assess whether companies are making progress towards achieving their ambitions.

8% of companies make a clear distinction between short, medium and longer-term strategic priorities

**Principle in practice**

Good reporting should provide insight into:

- How the organisation’s strategy relates to its business model, and what changes to that business model might be necessary to implement chosen strategies;
- How the strategy is influenced by or responds to the external environment and the identified risks and opportunities;
- How the strategy affects the capitals, and the risk management arrangements related to those capitals;
- What differentiates the organisation (innovation, IP, environmental and social considerations); and
- Key features and findings of stakeholder engagement that were used in formulating its strategy and resource allocation plans.

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.29 (adapted)
What good reporting looks like

Example 12: Intu Properties plc

Strategic objectives are clearly set out

1. We aim to provide people with the perfect shopping experience
   - With shopping centres in some of the best locations across the country, actively developing and actively managing these centres through our brand to provide the right mix of retail, leisure and catering.

2. Allowing us to establish enduring relationships with retailers
   - The powerful footfall that results is a compelling attraction for retailers. While we demonstrate operating resilience, managing our centres to provide the right trading environment and the flexibility to evolve.

3. Which delivers long-term growth for intu
   - This ensures that occupancy remains strong, reinforcing our centres’ role as vital hubs for the community and providing a valuable economic contribution to their regions. And drives rental income over the long term.

4. And generates returns for shareholders
   - Our thriving centres are sought after for investments, creating sustainable value for shareholders and supporting access to capital for re-investment.

Our enablers

- Talented employees: Professional, motivated and empowered teams, specialists in their field, focused on creating mutually beneficial opportunities for associates and partners. See page 3.
- intu brand: Intu as a shopping experience brand, focused on enriching the customer experience, providing compelling scale for retailers and other commercial partners. See page 5.
- A long-term focus: Creative and collaborative approaches to long-term investment and growth facilitated by development expertise and commercial focus. See page 9.
- A balanced approach to risk: Maturity of our risk management process and commitment to maintaining the right risk appetite through rigorous analysis of the expected levels of potential threats to strategic objectives. See page 11.

Becoming a powerful retail and leisure destination received planning consent in January 2013 for intu Lakeside, with the potential to create significant returns for shareholders, with fully detailed plans in Milton Keynes, with potential to create significant returns for shareholders.

Progress 2013

- Five new branded intu shopping centres in 2013 including The White Company, Urban Outfitters and Adidas and introduced more than 60 new brands to our shoppers in 2013.
- 12 new brands to our shoppers in 2013.
- 12 new brands to our shoppers in 2013.
- Our enablers

Progress 2014

- Five new branded intu shopping centres in 2013 including The White Company, Urban Outfitters and Adidas.
- Developed platform to deliver our signature customer experience with our newly in-sourced facilities management and all employees taking part in a programme of workshops. See Chief Executive’s review on page 23.
- Five new branded intu shopping centres in 2013 including The White Company, Urban Outfitters and Adidas.
- Developed platform to deliver our signature customer experience with our newly in-sourced facilities management and all employees taking part in a programme of workshops. See Chief Executive’s review on page 23.

Risk management in practice

- Ensuring our retailers can operate business as usual whilst maintaining the highest safety standards. See page 30.
- Ensuring our retailers can operate business as usual whilst maintaining the highest safety standards. See page 30.
- Risk management in practice

Progress 2013

- Maintaining the highest safety standards.
- Significant reduction in the number of reported incidents across the country in the next few years, and over half of which have planned content.
- Following trials, our in-store customer transactions will be included in 2016, bringing together the digital and physical shopping experiences.
- See Chief Executive’s review on page 11.
- Risk management in practice

Progress 2014

- Maintaining the highest safety standards.
- Significant reduction in the number of reported incidents across the country in the next few years, and over half of which have planned content.
- Following trials, our in-store customer transactions will be included in 2016, bringing together the digital and physical shopping experiences.
- See Chief Executive’s review on page 11.

Connectivity of information

Strategies in place to achieve strategic objectives

Progress against strategy

Risks and how they are managed are linked to strategic objectives

Future plans and how they support strategy

Source: Intu Properties plc Annual Report 2013, pages 14, 15 & 16
Example 13: Barclays Africa Group Limited

Strategy and balanced scorecard

Executing our One Africa Strategy through 5 strategic themes...

- Customers and clients at the core: Deliver superior customer and client service and make their lives much easier through innovation and value-for-money products.
- Build out the platform: Enable growth, scale and efficiency, while supporting our focus on customer and client demands and risk management.
- Control and compliance: Embed a culture of transparency and proactive support for risk, governance and control.
- People centricity: Engage, empower and create a diverse and inclusive environment where our people can develop further and fulfil their potential.
- Deliver sustainable growth: Expand our presence in our priority markets by developing our tailored value propositions.

And we measure our performance through our balanced scorecard

We balance our stakeholders’ needs across the short and long term. Our activities drive mutually reinforcing outcomes across our stakeholders.

Company
- Return on equity (%): 15.5 in 2013, 18 to 20 Target 2015
- Core Tier 1 equity ratio (%): 11.9 in 2013, 9.5 to 11 Target 2014
- Cost-to-income ratio (%): 56.3 in 2013, Low 50s Target 2016
- Revenue share from outside of South Africa (%): 19 in 2013, 20 to 25 Target 2016

Customer and Client
- RBB: ranking of Relationship Net Promoter Score® versus peer set
  - 5th in 2013
  - Target 2018
- CIB: Compound annual growth rate in client franchise contribution (%)
  - 101 in 2013
  - Target 2018
- Sustained engagement of colleagues score (%)
  - 74 in 2013
  - Target 2018
- Women in senior leadership (%)
  - 26 in 2013
  - 35 Target 2018
- Senior black management (EE)%
  - 32.2 in 2013
  - 60 Target 2017

Conduct
- Conduct reputation (YouGov survey)
  - 6.3/10 in 2013
  - Target to be published in our 1H14 results

Notes
- 2012/2013 growth rate.
- South Africa.
- 2013 conduct reputation survey only included South Africa, Kenya and Botswana. Survey data is subject to the standard, statistical errors associated with market research of this kind.
- NPS, Net Promoter and Net Promoter Score are trademarks of Steamline Inc., Bain and Co Inc. and Fred Reichheld.

For further information on the balanced scorecard methodology and data sources.

Source: Barclays Africa Group Limited Integrated Report 2013, pages 14, 15 & 16
Performance

What it means
Underpinning the focus on integrated reporting is a strong appreciation that the success of organisations is inextricably linked to three interdependent factors: society, the environment and the global economy.

Performance reporting has similarly evolved from reporting on financial measures of success to a more holistic approach that encompasses reporting on social and environmental performance.

Principle in practice
Good reporting should provide insight into:

- Quantitative indicators with respect to targets and risks and opportunities, explaining their significance, their implications and the methods and assumptions used in compiling them;
- The organisation’s effects (both positive and negative) on the capitals, including material effects on capitals up and down the value chain;
- The state of key stakeholder relationships and how the organisation has responded to key stakeholders’ legitimate needs and interests; and
- The linkages between past and current performance, and between current performance and the organisation’s outlook.

Findings
Similar to our findings last year, the overall results indicate that there is significant potential to develop reporting on performance, with only 10% of reporters effectively communicating their holistic performance to users.

Figure 22: Reporting on performance

Source: PwC analysis

41% of companies provide trend or comparable benchmark data for KPIs

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.30
Advanced measures of success

It is clear when analysing individual companies’ reporting that the basics of reporting on performance are well applied. Two-thirds of reporters explicitly identify their KPIs and the majority of those are clearly aligned to strategic priorities.

Figure 23: KPIs are aligned to strategic priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not accomplished</th>
<th>Somewhat accomplished</th>
<th>Accomplished</th>
<th>Exemplary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC analysis

Beyond ‘basic’ reporting on performance, there is still a significant amount of thought that needs to be given to the rationale for using specific measures of performance, and how these are to be achieved into the future.

As was the case in our 2013 survey, almost half of reporters did not define each KPI or provide a rationale for its use and over two-thirds of reporters did not provide future targets for KPIs.

Figure 24: Future targets are provided for KPIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not accomplished</th>
<th>Somewhat accomplished</th>
<th>Accomplished</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC analysis

Quality over quantity

Our analysis found that an average of 15 KPIs are disclosed per integrated report. Reporters appear to be embracing the concepts of integrated reporting by using a balance of financial (average six KPIs), operational (average five KPIs) and sustainability (average five KPIs) measures.

However, the question remains: What is too much and what is enough when it comes to performance measures? There cannot possibly be a one-size-fits-all answer and every reporter will have to judge whether the indicators that are identified as ‘key’ indeed reflect the organisation’s performance against strategy.

How reporting can be developed

Organisations can enhance the quality and usefulness of KPIs by making them specific to their business and providing clear targets and industry benchmarks against which they can be measured.

Where trends are provided to assist in year-on-year analysis, management commentary should accompany these trends to enable users to understand the movements in KPIs.

Providing a clear link between KPIs and the organisation’s strategy and remuneration policies will enhance the quality of disclosure around remuneration.
Example 14: SABMiller plc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic priority</th>
<th>What we measure</th>
<th>Why we measure</th>
<th>How we have performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Shareholder Return in excess of the median of our peer group over five-year periods (2012 and 2011: three-year periods).</td>
<td>Monitor the value created for our shareholders over the longer term relative to alternative investments in the drinks industry.</td>
<td>140%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth in adjusted earnings per share.</td>
<td>Determine the improvement in underlying earnings per share for our shareholders.</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash flow.</td>
<td>Track cash generated to pay down debt, return to our shareholders and invest in acquisitions.</td>
<td>US$ 3,251m</td>
<td>US$ 3,048m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial goal</td>
<td>What we measure</td>
<td>Why we measure</td>
<td>How we have performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To deliver a higher return to our shareholders than our peer group over the longer term.</td>
<td>The wide geographic spread of our operations allows us to benefit from growth in volumes and value in beer markets around the world. We continue to look for opportunities to strengthen our geographic footprint in both developing and developed markets through greenfield entries, alliances, mergers and acquisitions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating a balanced and attractive global spread of businesses.</td>
<td>The proportion of our total lager volume from markets in which we are No.1 or No.2 global market share positions.</td>
<td>Gain an overall picture of the relative strength of our market positions.</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The proportion of group EBITA from developing and emerging economies.</td>
<td>Assess the balance of our earnings exposure between regions of the world economy with the highest growth potential and more mature regions.</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing strong, relevant brand portfolios that win in the local market.</td>
<td>Organic growth in lager volumes.</td>
<td>Track underlying growth of our core business.</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group revenue growth (organic, constant currency).</td>
<td>Assess the underlying rate of growth in sales value of our brand portfolios.</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Revenue growth in premium brands (constant currency).</td>
<td>Monitor progress in building our portfolio of global and local premium brands.</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantly raising the profitability of local businesses, sustainably.</td>
<td>EBITA growth (organic, constant currency).</td>
<td>Track our underlying operational profit growth.</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EBITA margin.</td>
<td>Monitor our underlying operational profitability.</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hectolitres of water used at our breweries per hl of lager produced.</td>
<td>Gauge our progress in reducing the amount of water used in our breweries.</td>
<td>3.7 hl/hl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leveraging our skills and global scale.</td>
<td>Fossil fuel emissions from energy use at our breweries per hl of lager produced.</td>
<td>Assess progress towards reducing fossil fuel emissions at our breweries.</td>
<td>11.1 kg CO2e/hl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cumulative financial benefits from our business capability programme.</td>
<td>Track the payback from our investment in the group business capability programme.</td>
<td>US$ 1,223m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SABMiller plc Annual Report 2013, page 15
MTN Group Limited Integrated Report

Capex spend

Spent R30,164 million on investments to improve base.

● Improved 28% from 2012

Customer satisfaction

18,5 million net additions measured 2013 performance

KPIs

Brand ranking

Ranked 79th in the Top 100 Most Valuable Global Brands 2013 study by Millward Brown’s Brandz.

Creating a distinct customer experience

Strategic theme Priorities

Creating and managing stakeholder value

A sustainable shareholder return strategy

● Financial

Sustain absolute dividend growth between 5% and 13% and 15% Opportunities buy-backs

Primary executive responsibility

Brett Gouschen

Example 15: MTN Group Limited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic theme</th>
<th>Priorities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creating and managing stakeholder value</td>
<td>A sustainable shareholder return strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Responsible corporate citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creating a great place to work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Instilling sound governance and ethics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KPIs measured</th>
<th>2013 performance</th>
<th>How we’re doing</th>
<th>Relevance capital</th>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Short-term to medium-term focus</th>
<th>Primary executive responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable shareholder returns</td>
<td>● Grew absolute dividends to shareholders by 23.36%</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Shareholder</td>
<td>● Sustain absolute dividend growth between 5% and 13%</td>
<td>Brett Gouschen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI spend</td>
<td>● Spent R13.4 million through MTN Foundations with a 70% directed on education</td>
<td>Social and relationship</td>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>● R300 million to be spent on education projects, particularly on KT projects</td>
<td>Paul Norman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group culture audit</td>
<td>● 68% employee satisfaction based on 75% participation in audit</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>● Ongoing benchmarking of our practices and remuneration policies against global best practice</td>
<td>Paul Norman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour productivity</td>
<td>● Implemented a minimum criteria for performance standard and qualification criteria for bonuses, salary increases and share schemes on training and development</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>● Increased focus on labour productivity and organisational redesign</td>
<td>Paul Norman Ahmad Farroukh Zunaid Bulbulia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investor in People accreditation</td>
<td>● Three of five opcos obtained accreditation, meeting people management global standards</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>● Ensure all major opcos acquire accreditation</td>
<td>Paul Norman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll-out of governance and ethics policies</td>
<td>● Development and implementation of Group-wide governance, policies and frameworks. See social and ethics statement on page 77 for more detail</td>
<td>Social and relationship</td>
<td>All stakeholders</td>
<td>● Deploy and embed policies and framework across Group</td>
<td>Paul Norman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate reputation index</td>
<td>● 27% increase in positive mentions about MTN as ranked by Meltwater Monitoring Group</td>
<td>Social and relationship</td>
<td>All stakeholders</td>
<td>● Improve positive mentions and enhance stakeholder engagements through establishing effective issue council</td>
<td>Paul Norman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MTN Group Limited Integrated Report 2013, pages 54 & 55

Example 15:

- Each performance measure is linked to a strategic theme
- Time frame and target is given
- Performance measure is linked to the capitals
- Progress on each of the indicators is identified
Example 16: British American Tobacco plc

Key performance indicators (KPIs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted profit from operations at constant exchange rates</td>
<td>£6,041m</td>
<td>6,041</td>
<td>6,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash generated from operations</td>
<td>£2,982m</td>
<td>2,996</td>
<td>2,982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition:**
- Adjusted profit from operations is the adjusted profit from operations of the Group's subsidiaries – profit from operations at constant rate adjusted for the items shown as memorandum information on the Group Income Statement.
- Cash generated from operations is defined as the free cash flow excluding restructuring costs and dividends and other appropriations from associates, per the alternative cash flow on page 41.

**Target:**
- The Group’s medium to long-term target is to grow adjusted profit from operations on average by 5–7% per year.
- A specific target is set each year for the cash flow from operations. The target for 2013 was exceeded.

Source: British American Tobacco Annual Report 2013, page 19
Outlook

An integrated report should answer the question: What challenges and uncertainties is the organisation likely to encounter in pursuing its strategy, and what are the potential implications for its business model and future performance?

Source: International <IR> Framework, para 4.34

What it means

In developing the Framework, it was recognised that much of what is currently reported tends to be backward-looking and fails to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to make a meaningful assessment regarding the organisation’s ability to create and sustain value over the short, medium and long term.

Therefore, in addition to reporting on performance during the reporting period, the integrated report should include a forward-looking statement concerning the organisation’s anticipated activities and performance objectives, informed by its assessment of recent performance and understanding of trends in the external and internal environment, including stakeholder expectations.

28% of companies explain how they have identified material issues for the future viability of their business

Principle in practice

Good reporting should provide insight into:

- Anticipated changes over time;
- The organisation’s expectations about the external environment it is likely to face in the short, medium and long term;
- How the external environment will affect the organisation; and
- How the organisation is currently equipped to respond to the critical challenges and uncertainties that are likely to arise.

Source: International <IR> Framework para 4.35

Findings

Our research found that 26% of reporters provided effective communication of their future viability in terms of availability and constraints on material capital inputs, a slight improvement on last year’s survey.
The materiality determination process

It is important to understand the process undertaken by management to identify material issues affecting the organisation’s future viability in order to provide a context for the strategic outlook of the organisation.

Less than a third of reports (28%) explain how material issues have been identified, while the largest proportion of reports only provided some insight into this process, for example by describing the stakeholder engagement process.

Time frames

Reporting on an organisation’s future is most effective when it is done in the context of time frames that are relevant to that organisation’s business. This will vary depending on its business and investment cycles, industry context, strategies adopted and stakeholder expectations.

While half of reports provided time frames that are unclear, the balance do attempt to identify the time frame in which future viability has been considered, bearing in mind the nature of the company’s business and industry.

How reporting can be developed

The integrated report should provide a clear and appropriate demonstration of the time frame over which future viability has been considered, in the context of the nature of the company’s business and industry.

Disclosing the specific strategic actions to be undertaken to address the availability of material non-financial capitals and provide future KPIs for the strategic objectives identified communicates the future prospects and viability of an organisation to its stakeholders.
What good reporting looks like

Example 17: Nedbank Group Limited

In 2013 we improved our cultural sustainability performance as measured by internal and external indicators.

In 2013 we improved our cultural sustainability performance as measured by internal and external indicators.

Focus areas for 2014

In 2014, the Integrated Talent Framework was implemented. It includes talent conversations between managers and employees about performance, personal aspirations and holistic development.

Performance during 2013

Wellness Days were implemented to raise awareness of the importance of a healthy lifestyle. In 2014 a stress management programme will be piloted.

Target areas for 2014

Senior management 37.6% black, with 15% being black females.

Junior management 83.1% black, with 55.1% being black females.

Middle management 57.2% black, with 30% of those being black females.

Ongoing engagement with employees led to an understanding of how they experience the performance management process. Feedback has been consolidated with a focus on continuous improvement.

Technology challenges have delayed the creation of a virtual online learning platform. More focus will be given in 2014.

Targets for future performance are identified.

Future plans are linked to overall strategic objectives.

In our 2013 performance report, we identified various challenges in the external environment.

We continued to focus on developing our black talent pipeline. 78.9% of the long-term pipeline for cluster executive roles are black candidates.

The People with Disabilities target was exceeded. At 31 December 3.73% of employees had declared disabilities.

We continue to work on building our black talent pipeline and 78.9% of the long-term pipeline for cluster executive roles are black candidates.

In 2013 we improved our cultural sustainability performance as measured by internal and external indicators.

Focus areas for 2014

Performance during 2013

Wellness Days were implemented to raise awareness of the importance of a healthy lifestyle. In 2014 a stress management programme will be piloted.

Target areas for 2014

Achieving senior management employment equity targets has been particularly challenging since 2009. The statistics show that although we have made progress in achieving our employment equity objectives, we are not yet in a position to achieve our target.

In 2013 we improved our cultural sustainability performance as measured by internal and external indicators.

Focus areas for 2014

Performance during 2013

Wellness Days were implemented to raise awareness of the importance of a healthy lifestyle. In 2014 a stress management programme will be piloted.

Target areas for 2014

Senior management 37.6% black, with 15% being black females.

Junior management 83.1% black, with 55.1% being black females.

Middle management 57.2% black, with 30% of those being black females.

Ongoing engagement with employees led to an understanding of how they experience the performance management process. Feedback has been consolidated with a focus on continuous improvement.

Technology challenges have delayed the creation of a virtual online learning platform. More focus will be given in 2014.

Targets for future performance are identified.

Future plans are linked to overall strategic objectives.

In our 2013 performance report, we identified various challenges in the external environment.

We continued to focus on developing our black talent pipeline. 78.9% of the long-term pipeline for cluster executive roles are black candidates.

In 2013 we improved our cultural sustainability performance as measured by internal and external indicators.

Focus areas for 2014

Performance during 2013

Wellness Days were implemented to raise awareness of the importance of a healthy lifestyle. In 2014 a stress management programme will be piloted.

Target areas for 2014

Senior management 37.6% black, with 15% being black females.

Junior management 83.1% black, with 55.1% being black females.

Middle management 57.2% black, with 30% of those being black females.

Ongoing engagement with employees led to an understanding of how they experience the performance management process. Feedback has been consolidated with a focus on continuous improvement.

Technology challenges have delayed the creation of a virtual online learning platform. More focus will be given in 2014.

Targets for future performance are identified.

Future plans are linked to overall strategic objectives.

In our 2013 performance report, we identified various challenges in the external environment.

We continued to focus on developing our black talent pipeline. 78.9% of the long-term pipeline for cluster executive roles are black candidates.

In 2013 we improved our cultural sustainability performance as measured by internal and external indicators.

Focus areas for 2014

Performance during 2013

Wellness Days were implemented to raise awareness of the importance of a healthy lifestyle. In 2014 a stress management programme will be piloted.

Target areas for 2014

Senior management 37.6% black, with 15% being black females.

Junior management 83.1% black, with 55.1% being black females.

Middle management 57.2% black, with 30% of those being black females.

Ongoing engagement with employees led to an understanding of how they experience the performance management process. Feedback has been consolidated with a focus on continuous improvement.

Technology challenges have delayed the creation of a virtual online learning platform. More focus will be given in 2014.

Targets for future performance are identified.

Future plans are linked to overall strategic objectives.

In our 2013 performance report, we identified various challenges in the external environment.

We continued to focus on developing our black talent pipeline. 78.9% of the long-term pipeline for cluster executive roles are black candidates.

In 2013 we improved our cultural sustainability performance as measured by internal and external indicators.

Focus areas for 2014

Performance during 2013

Wellness Days were implemented to raise awareness of the importance of a healthy lifestyle. In 2014 a stress management programme will be piloted.

Target areas for 2014

Senior management 37.6% black, with 15% being black females.

Junior management 83.1% black, with 55.1% being black females.

Middle management 57.2% black, with 30% of those being black females.

Ongoing engagement with employees led to an understanding of how they experience the performance management process. Feedback has been consolidated with a focus on continuous improvement.

Technology challenges have delayed the creation of a virtual online learning platform. More focus will be given in 2014.

Targets for future performance are identified.

Future plans are linked to overall strategic objectives.
Example 18: Exxaro Resources Limited

OUTLOOK

Despite global economic risks (mainly related to oil prices, United States tapering of quantitative easing and the fragile Euro zone, volatile exchange rates and commodity prices), the global economy still points to a gradual recovery for 2014. The South African economic growth outlook is expected to remain fragile with a weakening exchange rate which will dampen domestic demand and increase inflation. Labour discontent on the back of unresolved socio-economic issues and union rivalry are expected to remain a challenge for the local mining industry.

The current high level of coal stock days at Eskom power stations.
Exxaro continues to engage with Eskom following the recent announcement of the delay in the construction of the Medupi power station and the impact this is expected to have on the previously revised volume off-take agreement between the two parties.

The focus on the Mayaka project in 2014 will mainly be on ensuring the successful conclusion of the detailed design and rail agreements. This is expected to be completed in the first half of 2014. It is also expected that the feasibility study on phase 2 will be completed in the fourth quarter of 2014.
Stable output is expected in the Ferrello business, with full production targeted. Eskom remains a threat to the ferroalloy industry, but has announced that it will not continue with its ‘electricity buy-back’ scheme and will employ other methods to reduce electricity consumption.
For Exxaro to remain a resilient, long-term, sustainable enterprise, we must continuously shape and adapt our business to external market conditions and geographical locations.

2014 coal export sales are expected to be affected mostly by commodity price volatility, ZAR/USD exchange rate fluctuations and the availability of trains from Transnet Freight Rail (TFR). At Exxaro has ceased production at the NCC export mine in 2013, export performance in 2014 will hinge largely on TFR performance between the Waterberg and Richards Bay. Both thermal and coking coal seaborne markets are expected to remain soft given an oversupply of coal globally. Developments on TFR’s first-phase expansion of capacity, on the line from Lephalale in the Waterberg, from 4Mtpa to 23Mtpa by 2018 are expected to have a positive long-term impact on Exxaro’s bottom line. That expansion is crucial to the group meeting its commitments to Eskom. Given that Mpumalanga coal deposits will have largely been exhausted in the medium term, keeping the Mpumalanga power stations going with coal from the Waterberg has been designated a national development priority.

In the domestic market, demand for steam and metallurgical coal is expected to be stable in 2014. Demand for power station coal from Eskom is, however, expected to be weaker than in 2013 due to continued review of costs is expected to assist the group to weather the next few years where cost pressures, subdued global demand and lower available sources of finance are critical for running a value-adding business.

Ambitions for the future are identified

Looking forward 2014

- The board to review and approve detailed risk tolerance levels
- Upgrading our risk management enabler to the newest version
- Implementing the SHEC risk management enabler and rolling it out to the business units
- Conducting risk review sessions within the updated technology enabler
- Rolling out the risk appetite framework to business units
- Monitoring and reviewing risk thresholds to ensure operations function within the board-approved framework
- Reviewing and updating the ERM framework
- Linking key performance indicators (KPIs) and key risk indicators (KRIs) to management’s performance contracts
- Conducting a risk maturity self-assessment
- Conducting mining right audits for all operations.

Source: Exxaro Integrated Report 2013, pages 12, 13, 16 & 25

Anticipated changes in external environment are discussed

Our vision for the mine of the future

1. Sustainable licence to operate:
   - Creating inclusive wealth – all stakeholders
   - Balanced and sustainable partnership between government, community and resources industry
   - Small footprint/limited environmental impact
   - Self-sustaining, economically viable community beyond life of mine.
2. Smart exploration: accurate, predictable, instant, information and models
3. 100% resource extraction
4. Zero waste
5. Zero harm – safety/health
6. Energy, carbon and water neutral
7. Workforce equipped to support the future business
8. Hybrid/renewable/alternative energy solutions
9. Capitalise on trend of advanced/materials/metallics
10. Integrated, optimised and redefined value chain.

Future plans are linked to challenges in the external environment
Due to their dual listed nature, Investec Bank Limited and Mondi Limited are respectively featured twice amongst the Top 40 companies listed on the JSE. The Group integrated report has been assessed once as the content of both reports has been included within the Group integrated report.

RMB Holdings Limited integrated report makes significant reference to the FirstRand Limited integrated report. The RMB Holdings Limited and FirstRand Limited integrated reports have therefore been assessed simultaneously.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>JSE Listing Code</th>
<th>Year end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Africa Group Limited</td>
<td>BGA</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Rainbow Minerals Limited</td>
<td>ARI</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglo American Platinum Limited</td>
<td>AMS</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglo American PLC</td>
<td>AGL</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AngloGold Ashanti Limited</td>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspen Pharmacare Holdings Limited</td>
<td>APN</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assore Limited</td>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHP Billiton PLC</td>
<td>BIL</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidvest Group Limited</td>
<td>BVT</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British American Tobacco PLC</td>
<td>BTI</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital &amp; Counties Properties PLC</td>
<td>CCO</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compagnie Financière Richemont SA</td>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>31 March 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery Limited</td>
<td>DSY</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exxaro Resources Limited</td>
<td>EXX</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FirstRand Limited</td>
<td>FSR</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Fields Limited</td>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growthpoint Properties Limited</td>
<td>GRT</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impala Platinum Holdings Limited</td>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Holdings Limited</td>
<td>IPL</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intu Properties PLC</td>
<td>ITU</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investec Bank Ltd16</td>
<td>INL</td>
<td>31 March 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumba Iron Ore Limited</td>
<td>KIO</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Healthcare Group Holdings Limited</td>
<td>LHC</td>
<td>30 September 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massmart Holdings Limited</td>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediclinic International Limited</td>
<td>MDC</td>
<td>31 March 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mondi Limited16</td>
<td>MND</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTN Group Limited</td>
<td>MTN</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naspers Limited</td>
<td>NPN</td>
<td>31 March 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nedbank Group Limited</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Mutual PLC</td>
<td>OML</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remgro Limited</td>
<td>REM</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMB Holdings Limited17</td>
<td>RMH</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SABMiller PLC</td>
<td>SAB</td>
<td>31 March 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanlam Limited</td>
<td>SLM</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasol Limited</td>
<td>SOL</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoprite Holdings Limited</td>
<td>SHP</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Bank Group Limited</td>
<td>SBK</td>
<td>31 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinhoff International Holdings Limited</td>
<td>SHF</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiger Brands Limited</td>
<td>TBS</td>
<td>30 September 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truworths International Limited</td>
<td>TRU</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vodacom Group Limited</td>
<td>VOD</td>
<td>31 March 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woolworths Holdings Limited</td>
<td>WHL</td>
<td>30 June 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16 Due to their dual listed nature, Investec Bank Limited and Mondi Limited are respectively featured twice amongst the Top 40 companies listed on the JSE. The Group integrated report has been assessed once as the content of both reports has been included within the Group integrated report.

17 RMB Holdings Limited integrated report makes significant reference to the FirstRand Limited integrated report. The RMB Holdings Limited and FirstRand Limited integrated reports have therefore been assessed simultaneously.
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